

POLAND, CON'D

Our missionaries to Poland, Rich and Lori McLane, are also planning a "creation road trip" to a dozen churches throughout the nation. They understand this is fundamental to reaching their culture. Right now Poland faces a critical crossroad.

Historically the nation is over 98% Catholic but many, if not most, of the next generation has no interest in the rituals and traditions of Catholicism. Instead they are throwing off all belief in God and rapidly embracing materialism as the nation merges into the European Union.


Although the nation has shed atheistic communism, evolution is still the only origins explanation taught in their school system. Meanwhile, the Church simply blends "evolution and millions of years" into their doctrinal beliefs. The younger generation comprehends the inconsistency in that this places God directly responsible for human death/disease and makes a myth of Adam and Eve. As a result, all of Christianity becomes a fairy tale in their minds.

I spent almost 8 hours sharing the evidence for creation to over a dozen future pastors at the Warsaw Bible College. They became increasingly enthusiastic and agitated as the presentations built - one upon another. One future pastor said, "This makes me so mad. Why haven't I been told these things before? This is SOOO important! We have to share this with others." Another said, "I finally understand how to reconcile the teachings of the Bible with the error of being taught the earth is so old. The flood of Noah is a key to everything." They wanted all of my Power-Point slides for future reference. Their enthusiasm was a great encouragement and as pastors they will share what they have learned with thousands of others.

Many books, DVD's, and CD's were left with the students and staff along with permission to reproduce materials and translate them into Polish. Your ministry support made this possible and we are planning a return trip in 2009.

In addition, the donations from only 95 ministry supporters have made it possible to give away over 2500 books, DVDs and audio CDs through the first quarter of 2009.

The chart below shows how these resources have been distributed.



EVOLUTION, CON'D

There is definitely an acceleration of evolution being presented as a fact to our culture, but why? There have not been any real breakthroughs in the understanding how evolution could change pond slime into people (actually most of the classical evidences have been proven faulty). Could we have reached a turning point where this blatant ape-to-human propaganda for a humanistic viewpoint is simply no longer objectionable to the population at large?

Opinion polls¹ indicate that the majority of Americans no longer accept either the Bible or the Biblical model for our origin as authoritative. Therefore it has become increasingly possible to present the only other alternative (that we came from ape-like creatures) as a fact. And there is seldom even a whisper of protest from the population at large when these "for profit" magazines feature evolution as a fact. If a large number of people were offended by this one-sided agenda, there would not be an increasing frequency of its presentation because it would be detrimental to magazine sales.

Since evolution has been firmly established as a fact in the minds of the next generation, I believe the next step will be increasing scrutiny and opposition upon Christians who try to present the Biblical alternative in any public



SEARCH FOR THE TRUTH
WWW.SEARCHFORTHETRUTH.NET
3275 MONROE RD MIDLAND, MI 48640
(989) 837-5546
TRUTH@SEARCHFORTHETRUTH.NET

format. Yet we dare not remain silent. As the culture darkens, the light of truth becomes even more important in spite of opposition or overwhelming odds against it. The truth is still the truth regardless of whether people are being trained to dismiss it.

¹ Nehemiah Institute, Inc. PEERS Trends Chart and Explanation www.nehemiahinstitute.com, Lexington, KY 2004. "Only 6% of teenagers currently embrace a Biblical Worldview"

DELTA COLLEGE, CON'D

ity came from via natural processes, nor does it explain how any increase in information complexity could take place. The bacteria remains a bacteria, just capable of "eating plastic instead of sewage" and this does nothing to explain how bacteria could turn into a person, nor where the bacteria came from in the first place.

Miller made a great show of how the parts of a mouse trap could be used for alternative purposes supposedly proving that molecular machines do not need to have developed all at once i.e. disproving Dr. Michael Behe's irreducible complexity argument for creation. Miller demonstrated removing the catch and using the mousetrap as a tie clip. I should have explained that I routinely disassemble a writing pen and drop the seven individual components into a "magic can of evolution" during my lectures. All of the "perfectly designed pen components" needed to make a working pen are in the close proximity. I could shake, spin, twirl, drop, or explode the can for 15 billion years and a working pen would never result - even when I have the correct components in close proximity. I could even use the pen tube as a straw but that does not explain how a working pen could develop by natural processes. This IS evidence for creation yet Dr. Miller's goal in life seems to be preventing students from hearing this perspective.

VOL. 1 NO. 2 SPRING 2008

SEARCH FOR THE TRUTH

AWAKENING HEARTS AND MINDS TO BIBLICAL TRUTH



IN THIS ISSUE

Creation Taught in Poland

Evolution in Magazines

Monkey Business:
"Ape-Man" Explained

Debate with Dr. Kenneth Miller

SFT BOARD

Dave Johnson

Rob & Beth Kelch

Larry & Jean Langrill

Doug Lee

Bruce & Robin Malone

Scott & Joan Miller

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Bruce Malone

GRAPHIC DESIGNER

Shelley Mordue

INTERCESSOR TEAM

Francine Cheger

Rich & Tina Kleiss

Kent & Sue Larson

Matt & Nancy Place




CREATION IS KEY TO REACHING POLAND

At the end of April, I had the privilege of traveling to Poland to teach about the evidence for creation in a Warsaw church and Bible School. The reception to the presentations was overwhelmingly enthusiastic. After the two hour Sunday evening talk (followed by another hour of Q&A), the pastor of the 700 member church asked me to return for more extensive teaching to his flock. He plans to widely publicize the return visit to reach more people.

con'd on back

EVOLUTION INDOCTRINATION INCREASING

Is there an acceleration of the publicity surrounding evolution and apeman? I have noticed over the last few years that there have been an increasing number of movies and magazines featuring "ape-man" or evolution themes. Knowing how our perceptions can fool us, I decided to pick two of the most popular magazines in America (National Geographic and Time Magazine) and plot how often "ape-men" or evolution has been featured on the cover. This data is summarized (by decade) in the graph below:



con'd on back

con'd on back

MONKEY BUSINESS

Is your ancestry a trip from goo to you by way of the zoo? That seems to be the only possibility presented when walking through natural history museums, reading science magazines, or watching nature programs. In reality, there are two possibilities for our existence. Either we descended from some prior form of life... which in turn descended from an even more primitive form of life... which descended from some non-living chemicals (from goo to you by way of the zoo) OR humans were created fully functional as human beings. There really are no other options.

So how can we know which possibility is the truth? That's where science comes in. This quandary cannot be solved in a class of philosophy or comparative religion because those disciplines do not deal with the actual physical evidence. Science does. There are many avenues of physical evidence pointing to the reality that humanity was directly created by an incomprehensibly intelligent creator. This page deals with the fossil evidence.

So what has been found? Since 1859, there have been an estimated 8000 human-like fragments found in the sedimentary rock layers all around our planet. This wealth of fossil evidence should clearly show the progression from ape-like ancestor to human... if it has happened.

Various forms of these bones and skulls have been artistically arranged in ancestral charts for centuries. Meanwhile, new finds routinely claim to "re-write" the story of human evolution (which has become increasingly complex rather than easier to comprehend). In reality, human evolution is assumed to be a fact and the fossil fragments are simply lined up to support this belief.



July 13, 2007 - The tallest Chinese human (7'8") with the smallest (2'5")

Modern humans have an enormous variation in stature (4' to 7'), physical appearance (coal black aborigines to lily white Scandinavians), and brain capacity (700 - 2000cc). It is apparent from the fossil record that this human variation was even larger in the past with people ranging from "hobbit-like" stature (barely over 3' tall) to Neanderthals having a brain capacity of 2200cc. It is also apparent that skull shapes varied more in the past with some humans having deep set eyes, eyebrow ridges, heavy jaws, and sloped facial characteristics more pronounced than today. None of this variation is proof of evolution, but simply the enormous variety created within the original human gene pool. Fully human skull fragments are routinely misinterpreted as non-humans and classified as "ar-

chaic" homo sapiens or homo erectus specimens. Other fossil fragments are simply extinct variations of modern apes. Animals such as australopithecines (the famous Lucy skeleton) were just apes which happened to have a more upright posture than most modern apes.

The strongest evidence that these fossil finds have been misinterpreted is the fact that skulls essentially identical to man's supposed ape-like ancestors (homo erectus skulls) have been found in the same rock layers as australopithecines (Lucy), while other

homo erectus skulls have been found right up to contemporary times along with modern man.

Whenever evidence for modern man is found alongside of man's supposed ancestors, the evidence is classified as "non-human". Fully modern human footprints have been found in the same rock layers as Lucy, as have evidence of fully modern human activities (use of tools, burial of dead, etc.)

All of this evidence is simply ignored or attributed to man's ape-like ancestors.

In similar fashion, when bones essentially identical to man's supposed ancestors, (homo erectus skulls - with "low-end" brain size, eyebrow ridges, or thick jaws) are found in the vicinity of modern man, these are explained away as simply human variation or the result of pathological (diseases) or environmental causes. Yet the same skulls found deeper in the sediment layers (not older - just earlier in the worldwide flood) are lined up as pre-human ancestors to mankind. Why aren't these accepted as human variation, diseases, or environmentally altered?

This inconsistency in the handling of human fossils is the strongest evidence that there is something very wrong with the whole "ape-like-creature-to-man" evolution story. In actuality, the entire apeman concept is remarkably lacking of physical proof. Apeman is a modern fairy tale, not science. It is the religious dogma of atheism which is forced upon students - to be accepted without questioning of the contradictory fossil evidence.

For more technical details concerning the actual fossil finds see Martin Lubenow's lifelong study of the subject: "The Bones of Contention: A Creationist Assessment of Human Fossils"



A typical "Homo Erectus" Skull

SKEPTIC'S CORNER

Skeptics interpret variations of humans and apes as a link between the two. Since Darwin proposed that all of life has ascended from a common ancestry, paleoanthropologists have been looking for the elusive "missing link" between man and some ape-like creature. In spite of publicity to the contrary, this missing link is still missing. The obvious explanation (that this link simply doesn't exist) is ignored because anyone acknowledging this truth would cease to be an evolutionist - and immediately be ostracized by the modern scientific establishment.